

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | _ F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/773,449 02/09/2004 | | 02/09/2004 | Sebastian Bauer | P69468US0 | 3610 |
| 136 | 7590 | 01/23/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | IAN PLLC | THOMPSON, KENNETH L | | |
| 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHING | TON, DC | 20004 | 3672 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/773,449 | BAUER ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Kenneth Thompson | 3672 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No | ovember 2005. | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,10 and 12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) 2,3 and 9 is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)[_ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | see the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the defining depice not receive | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | | |
| | be of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | | | |
| 3) Infor | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-10 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The recitation "two mutually displaceable Kelly rods adjacent to each other" in claim 1 is misleading. The mutually displaceable and adjacent rods cannot be interpreted to be the axially spaced rod segments of the inner Kelly rod, the outer Kelly rod nor both. Claims 2-10 and 12 depend from claim 1 and are likewise objected to.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-8, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hughes, U.S. 3,306,078.

Regarding claims 1, 4 10 and 12, as best understood by the Examiner, Hughes discloses a telescoping boring rod mechanism having concentric displaceable rods (12,10), one of which (10) constructed of metal and the other (12) constructed from adjacent segments (37,36) having means to transmit torque and made from a steel material (36) and an elastomeric (37) material.

As to claims 5-8, as best understood by the Examiner, Hughes discloses in figures 4 and 5 tubular segments having interlocking driving slots (between adjacent teeth 38 and 39) as means (39, 38) for transmitting torque and an axial force.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections set forth above and in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest all the claimed subject matter including carbon-fibre reinforced plastic material, and the constructions and provisions of the rod segments.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 10 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

Application/Control Number: 10/773,449 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Thompson whose telephone number is 571 272-7037. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

18 January 2006

Kenneth Thompson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672